



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

dress:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	WWW HERED POV

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/756,485		01/08/2001	Sung Won Kang	9983.108US01	4226	
23552	7590	04/02/2004		EXAMINER		
MERCHANT & GOULD PC			MANOSKEY, JOSEPH D			
P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903		N 55402-0903		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2,111	, , , , , , , ,			2113	7	
				DATE MAILED: 04/02/200-	4 /	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Λ					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	In					
, ,	09/756,485	KANG ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Joseph Manoskey	2113						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address eriod for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 M	arch 2004.							
•—•	action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar								
Disposition of Claims								
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or sub	wn from consideration.							
Application Papers			·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 January 2001</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.1						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s)								
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Patent and Trademark Office								

Application/Control Number: 09/756,485

Art Unit: 2113

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments, see page 5, fifth paragraph to page 6, first paragraph, filed in Amendment A on March 4, 2004, with respect to objects to the drawings and specification have been fully considered and are persuasive. The objections of drawings and specification have been withdrawn.
- 2. Applicant's arguments, see page 6, second paragraph, filed in Amendment A on March 4, 2004, with respect to the 35 U.S.C. 112 rejection of claims 1 and 2 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejections of claims 1 and 2 have been withdrawn.
- 3. Applicant's arguments, see page 6, fourth paragraph to page 7, fifth paragraph, filed in Amendment A on March 4, 2004, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1 and 2 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of new found prior art (see rejection below).
- 4. Applicant's arguments, see page 7, sixth paragraph to page 8, first paragraph filed in Amendment A on March 4, 2004, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1 and 2 under 25 U.S.C. 102(e) have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

However, the rejection has been withdrawn due to the arguments discussed in the previous paragraph of this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claim 2 recites the limitation "the group" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fukushima et al., Japanese Patent Publication JP 08056235 A.
- 9. From hereinafter all references made to the specification of Japanese Patent Publication JP 08056235 A will be made from a machine translation of said publication obtained from the Japanese Patent Office website. These two documents will be collectively referred to as "Fukushima" from hereinafter.

Application/Control Number: 09/756,485

Art Unit: 2113

10. Referring to claim 1, Fukushima teaches test approach of router equipment, this is interpreted as method for routing testing (See paragraph 1). The method includes connecting router equipment to a terminal unit for testing, which is interpreted as connecting a router to a routing test system (See paragraph 1). Fukushima discloses network configuration information being inputted or saved and altered with an editor (See paragraph 36). Also Fukushima discloses a test environment being defined by the configuration information and testing the router with the terminal unit without using any other routers, this is interpreted as testing using a "virtual network" (See paragraphs 12 and 13). Fukushima teaches testing the protocol by sending a packet to a destination with the terminal unit and terminal unit checks to see if it receives the packet from the specific interface, this interpreted as monitoring the links of the router (See paragraphs 9-11).

Page 4

11. Referring to claim 2, Fukushima teaches the method network configuration information defining the network system used as the test environment (See paragraph 13). This is interpreted a virtual network that would contain at least one of a total number of network nodes, a total number of network links and total number of links coupled to the router.

Conclusion

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 5

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Manoskey whose telephone number is (703) 308-5466. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. (8am to 4:30pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Beausoliel can be reached on (703) 305-9713. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/756,485

Art Unit: 2113

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JDM March 29, 2004

ROBERT BEAUSOLIEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100